

Sinclair  
Broadcasting's  
decision to force  
their stations to  
air an anti-Kerry  
documentary days  
before the election  
is a clear example  
of the dangers of  
media consolidation.  
In addition, it is  
an un-democratic way  
of showing biased  
views to a public  
that does not know  
the entire truth  
about what really  
happened, or what  
was truly said.

Secondly, why does  
this film matter to  
anyone? This was  
something that  
happened far too  
long ago to be  
counted against a  
potential candidate  
now. Clearly there  
is no reason to show  
this film other than  
to give the  
Republican Party  
more power.

Sinclair's actions  
show why we need to  
strengthen media  
ownership rules, not  
weaken them. They  
show why the license  
renewal process  
needs to involve  
more than a returned  
postcard.

Freedom of speech is  
freedom of speech so  
long as it is not  
filled with lies or  
deception. Thank  
you.